



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,330	05/18/2006	Rune Freyer	2006-IP-019699 U1 USA	1607

49431 7590 08/07/2009  
SMITH IP SERVICES, P.C.  
P.O. Box 997  
Rockwall, TX 75087

EXAMINER
----------

ANDREWS, DAVID L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3672

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/07/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/538,330	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FREYER, RUNE	
	<b>Examiner</b> David Andrews	<b>Art Unit</b> 3672	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David Andrews. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Sally Smith. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant inquired if the IDS's submitted 4/22/09 and 7/6/2009 had been considered because the Office action mailed 7/16/2009 did not include either with the correspondence. The examiner acknowledged that these should have been included with the last Office action, since they were considered, and copies denoted such are attached with this interview summary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/David J. Bagnell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3672
--	--